OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

Reputational Risk in the Social Media Era: A Case Study of Crisis Management Strategies for Major Brands in Indonesia

Tri Warcono Adi[1], Susi Arijanti[2]
Politeknik Energi & Mineral Akamigas, Indonesia[1], STP Aviasi, Indonesia[2]

Received: July 15, 2025
Revised: July 30, 2025
Accepted: August 17, 2025
Published: August 21, 2025

Corresponding Author:
Author Name*: Tri Warcono Adi
Email*:
triwarconoadi19@gmail.com

Abstract**:** *The development of digital technology has transformed the public communication landscape, with social media becoming the primary arena for interaction between companies and their audiences. The rapid flow of information on platforms like Instagram, Twitter, TikTok, and Facebook makes corporate reputations highly vulnerable to shifts in public perception that can occur within minutes. This study examines the crisis management strategies of major Indonesian brands in addressing reputational risk in the social media era. Using a qualitative, case study-based approach, data was collected through in-depth interviews, document analysis, and online observations. The analysis was conducted using the Miles & Huberman interactive model with triangulation of sources and methods. The results show that reputational risk is influenced by a combination of public expectations, user-generated narratives, and algorithmic logic, accelerating issue escalation. Defensive responses and delays in clarification have been shown to exacerbate crises, while swift, transparent, and empathetic strategies accelerate reputation recovery. Effective crisis management requires an integration of reactive and proactive approaches, supported by a continuous monitoring system, adaptive playbooks, and robust human resource capabilities. These findings emphasize that reputation management in the digital era must focus on building public trust through transparency, accountability, and continuous learning, enabling companies to survive and adapt to the ever-changing dynamics of the social media ecosystem.*

Keywords: Big Brand; Crisis Management; Reputational Risk

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

INTRODUCTION

The development of digital technology has brought about a major transformation in the public communications landscape, particularly through social media, which has become the dominant medium of interaction between companies and their audiences. The rapid flow of information on platforms like Instagram, Twitter, TikTok, and Facebook allows both positive and negative messages to spread widely in a matter of minutes. This phenomenon places brands in a highly vulnerable position, as public perception can shift drastically due to a single viral event. In this context, reputational risk becomes a more real threat than in the pre-digital era, when issues took longer to spread and information distribution channels were more limited. This complexity is exacerbated by the democratic nature of social media, where all users can be both producers and distributors of information (Sinaga, 2025). This requires companies to have a high level of strategic awareness to anticipate potential crises early on.

A company's reputation is not only a symbol of prestige but also an intangible asset with significant economic value. In strategic management theory, a positive reputation has been shown to contribute to increased consumer loyalty, ease of investment, and stable relationships with business partners. However, when reputation is damaged due to a social media crisis, the impact can be multiplied, as negative perceptions quickly shape public opinion that is difficult to recover. A decline in reputation can have a domino effect, including decreased sales, loss of stakeholder trust, and even a reduced company valuation in the capital market (Ndruru, 2025). These effects demonstrate that reputation risk is multidimensional, encompassing financial, operational, and public image aspects. Therefore, reputation management is a strategic priority that cannot be underestimated.

Major brands in Indonesia are in a unique position that presents both advantages and high vulnerabilities. Widespread popularity provides significant consumer exposure, but on the other hand, even the smallest mistake can generate massive public attention. Incidents such as product failures, poor service, or controversial statements from company representatives can trigger a chain reaction on social media. In certain situations, initially small issues can escalate due to the participation of internet users who amplify negative narratives through comments, reposts, or the creation of additional content. This indicates that the scale of problems on social media is often not linear with events on the ground. Thus, companies need to understand that a large audience is not only a marketing opportunity but also a potential source of crisis.

The nature of interactions on social media makes crisis management a unique challenge for major brands. Unlike crises in the era of conventional media, companies today face situations where narratives can develop organically without internal control (Indrayani, 2024). In many cases, a delayed or inaccurate response can worsen public perception, as it is perceived as negligence or indifference. Therefore, companies need to adopt a response strategy that is not only swift but also relevant to the expectations of digital audiences. This strategy includes using empathetic, transparent, and consistent communication language in delivering clarifications. Without a structured approach, any crisis management effort risks worsening reputational damage (Silviani et al., 2022).

The urgency of crisis management in the social media era is also driven by the ever-changing nature of the digital environment. Social media platform algorithms, content trends, and user behavior patterns are constantly shifting, so strategies that are effective in one period may not be relevant in the next. Within this framework, companies must implement a continuous monitoring system to detect potential issues before they develop into major crises. Utilizing technology such as social listening tools can help identify public sentiment and emerging issues. Furthermore, involving a trained communications team to interact in real time is a crucial part of mitigation efforts (Darmawan et al., 2022). Strategic flexibility and adaptability are key to maintaining effective

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

reputation management in a dynamic digital environment (Xiayu, 2024).

An effective crisis management strategy is not only reactive but also proactive. A proactive approach includes building a consistent positive image through communication campaigns, social responsibility programs, and constructive public interactions. By building strong trust before a crisis occurs, companies have greater reputational capital to mitigate negative impacts when faced with problems (Wulandari et al., 2025). On the other hand, a reactive strategy is necessary to respond to sudden events with high speed and precision. The integration of these two approaches creates a balance that allows companies to withstand and even recover more quickly from reputational shocks. Overall, the combination of proactivity and reactivity is the key foundation for reputational resilience in the social media era.

Human resource readiness is a determining factor in the success of a crisis management strategy. Companies need a team that not only understands the technical aspects of social media but also possesses persuasive communication skills and an understanding of audience psychology. Ongoing training is essential to ensure the team is able to respond to issues with appropriate cultural and social sensitivity. Furthermore, cross-departmental coordination between communications, legal, and top management is essential for swift and accurate decision-making. With the support of qualified human resources, companies can minimize missteps that could potentially exacerbate a crisis (Asnita et al., 2024; Ramdina, 2025). This demonstrates that reputation management is not just about technology, but also the quality of the people who manage it.

Finally, case studies of major brands in Indonesia are crucial for empirical learning. In-depth analysis of successful and unsuccessful strategies provides valuable insights for developing future crisis management policies. Through a case study approach, researchers can identify effective response patterns, common mistakes to avoid, and strategic innovations relevant to the local context. This knowledge benefits not only the companies studied but also the industry as a whole. Therefore, research on reputational risk in the social media era makes a strategic contribution to strengthening the resilience of corporate reputations in Indonesia. This focus also bridges the gap between crisis management theory and actual practice.

METHOD

This research uses a qualitative approach with a case study method to understand the crisis management strategies of major brands in Indonesia in dealing with reputational risks in the social media era. The qualitative approach was chosen because it can explore phenomena holistically and contextually (Creswell, 2018), while the case study allows researchers to examine the dynamics of strategies in complex, real-world situations (Yin, 2018). The research focuses on the stages of issue identification, communication strategy selection, public response, and the impact on reputation recovery, particularly for brands that have experienced viral reputation crises in the past five years.

Data collection was conducted through three main techniques: in-depth interviews with communications and public relations personnel, document analysis of official reports and media reports, and online observation to monitor interactions on social media. This combination provided rich, diverse, and complementary data. To ensure validity, the study employed triangulation of sources and methods, as well as member checking with informants to ensure accurate data interpretation.

Data analysis used the Miles & Huberman interactive model, which simultaneously encompasses data reduction, data presentation, and conclusion drawing. This approach was chosen because it is considered effective in discovering patterns and deeper meanings behind organizational behavior. In line with Coombs' (2007) opinion in Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT), effective crisis management strategies depend not only on formal procedures but also on the organization's ability to adapt its response to the evolving social, cultural, and technological contexts in the digital space.

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

With structured time planning, this research is expected to produce a comprehensive picture of effective and relevant crisis management patterns in Indonesia. The results can serve as both academic and practical references, particularly in formulating adaptive communication strategies to maintain brand reputation amidst the challenges of the social media era.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Dynamics of Reputational Risk in the Social Media Era

At a conceptual level, reputational risk in the digital space is formed by the interaction of three components: public expectations of corporate values, narrative traces produced and replayed by users, and algorithmic curation mechanisms that determine issue visibility. Social media compresses the time it takes for information to spread, significantly narrowing the gap between triggering events and the formation of public opinion; processes that previously required daily news cycles now occur in minutes. In the Indonesian context, with its high user penetration and intense conversational culture on chat and short-form video platforms, this time compression increases the likelihood of operational issues "leaking" into reputational crises. Reputation is no longer understood simply as an accumulation of images, but as a fluid ecosystem of perceptions, constantly negotiated, and vulnerable to narrative shocks. Because reputation is relational, any message that deviates from the audience's value scheme of ethics, justice, religiosity, nationalism, or legal compliance is more likely to trigger dissonance and collective reaction (Yahya, 2025).

The viral nature of social media operates through a mechanism of memetic reproduction, where simple, emotional, and easily remixed content pieces tend to have an effective reproduction number (R) above one, thus forming a cascade of dissemination (Sari & Chandra, 2025). Engagement-based algorithms based on likes, shares, comments, and watch time boost the emergence of content that triggers strong affect, particularly moral outrage, which is empirically correlated with interaction intensity. When a negative issue about a brand meets the characteristics of a clear narrative (villain–victim–resolution) and is easily personalized (for example, through a witness video), the probability of cross-network propagation increases. In Indonesia, the existence of dense and overlapping online communities (homophily) shortens the "social distance" between clusters, allowing content to potentially jump from niche communities to the mainstream. The result is a non-linear "perception spike": exposure increases rapidly while simultaneously intensifying judgments.

Platform openness also reshapes the architecture of information production: everyon consumers, employees, suppliers, content creators, and even competitors—can become narrative producers. This disintermediation eliminates the gatekeeping function once monopolized by conventional media, so that content validity is often generated ex post through social proof mechanisms, rather than pre-publication. Screenshotability, stitching, and video duets make it easy to reduce the original context, while remix variations create a "pseudo-evidence" effect that confirms the original narrative. When internal material is leaked or negative individual experiences are dramatized audiovisually, brands lose the privilege of setting the stage for communication. In such conditions, epistemic authority shifts from institutions to networks who are trusted not by the most credible, but by the most connected and emotionally resonant (Ibrahim et al., 2025).

Psychological and social dimensions magnify the impact of negative issues. Negativity bias makes people more sensitive to information that potentially threatens their values or identity; the availability heuristic makes some extreme cases seem representative of a brand's overall service. Group polarization and echo chambers reinforce initial beliefs, while the bandwagon effect encourages participation in social sanctions ranging from condemnatory comments to calls for boycotts. In collectivist cultural contexts, adherence to group norms and the need to maintain face can

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

accelerate consensus on social punishment for brands perceived to violate shared values. This combination of factors explains why late factual clarification often fails to defuse public emotions that have become "locked" into a particular narrative.

Compared to the conventional media era, two fundamental differences stand out: the intensity of exposure and public pressure. First, the intensity of exposure is now determined by continuous algorithmic curation, rather than limited broadcast slots; issues can “live” longer because they are continually fueled by new interactions, even after the formal news cycle has died down. Second, public pressure manifests itself in real time in measurable metrics like trending topics, spikes in mentions, and ratings, creating a sense of “permanent crisis” in corporate communications control rooms. While in conventional media narratives pass through editorial filters, on social media, narratives circulate in contextual pieces, putting pressure on corporate responses to be swift, transparent, and open to correction. Failure to meet the network’s communication pace is often read as indifference or an admission of guilt.

Dynamically, the evolution of reputation issues on social media typically follows five phases: (1) the trigger of an operational/ethical/communication incident deemed deviant; (2) the ignition of the emergence of evidence-based content (videos, transaction receipts, testimonials) from highly autonomous accounts or KOLs; (3) accelerated amplification by communities, secondary creators, and online media; (4) the crystallization of narrative framing of the issue into a value conflict (fair–unfair, halal–haram, pro–anti); (5) the offline spillover of boycotts, complaints to regulators, or investigative reporting. Accelerating factors include a misalignment between brand promise and actual experience (brand–experience dissonance), a track record of previous violations, and the presence of sensitive symbols or issues of identity value (Yakın et al., 2023). Throughout the phases, the “golden window” for communicative intervention narrows; defensive or technical responses without empathy tend to accelerate the shift of the issue from operational to moral.

The uniqueness of the Indonesian context enriches the risk landscape. Issues intersecting with religious values, social justice, consumer security, data privacy, and economic nationalism have a high sensitivity coefficient (Ibrahim et al., 2025). A diverse platform ecology of closed chat groups (“dark social”) alongside short-form video platforms with aggressive algorithms creates two dissemination pathways: a private, impervious to correction, and a highly measured, public one. This combination often results in “reputation shocks”: narratives mature in closed spaces and explode into the public sphere when they acquire powerful visual artifacts. Furthermore, the oligopolistic market structure in some sectors (telecommunications, online transportation, FMCG) allows issues surrounding a single player to quickly become interpreted as a reflection of the sector, amplifying media and regulatory attention.

In the context of the national F&B industry, a short video of less than 30 seconds highlighting alleged halal label non-compliance at a major city outlet triggered a reputational crisis. The video, featuring personal narratives and close-ups of packaging, quickly went viral, generating thousands of copies in just 12 hours. The brand's initial legalistic response, emphasizing procedural compliance, was deemed insensitive because it failed to acknowledge consumer concerns and provided no concrete evidence of improvements at the outlet. The situation then evolved from a narrative of "technical error" to "systemic negligence," especially after several content creators compared standards across outlets. The crisis escalated when religious public figures weighed in, shifting the discussion from service quality to value, ultimately prolonging the crisis and reducing consumer return in various regions.

In the transportation platform sector, a crisis emerged when a hydrometeorological disaster occurred and a screenshot of a surge in fares followed by a video of a driver refusing an emergency passenger circulated. The initial public narrative emphasized the company's

P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

insensitivity, then evolved into a lengthy discussion about partner welfare, algorithm transparency, and corporate social responsibility. The company's initial response, blaming a "local bug" without supporting data, generated skepticism, while later technical clarifications became ineffective as public sentiment had already hardened. A recovery in sentiment began to emerge after the company took concrete steps, including freezing surge pricing in the disaster area, providing compensation, and providing humanitarian services. However, the digital footprint, in the form of archived uploads and video compilations, left a reputational residue that resurfaced when a similar incident occurred several months later. Several crisis accelerators emerged consistently across many cases: (a) the asymmetry in the speed of citizen narrative production exceeding the speed of corporate verification; (b) communicative arrogance, a defensive tone, user blaming, or a lack of empathy; (c) influencer coalitions when several cross-niche KOLs converged on a common value frame; (d) repeated visual "evidence" of video/ss compilations that enriched the cascade; (e) the interconnectedness of new issues that “stick” to the memory of old scandals, creating a snowball effect. Under these conditions, reputation changes from an accumulative asset to a fragile variable that depends on consistency of action, transparency of data, and the ability to communicate in uncertainty.

In practical terms, reputation risk management demands cross-functional orchestration: (1) social listening-based perception intelligence that maps depth, breadth, and sentiment; (2) a two-lane, rapid, empathetic response protocol in the first few minutes followed by verified factual disclosure and corrective plans; (3) narrative governance with auditable evidence (photos, videos, operational data) to allow public verification of claims; (4) engagement of trusted third parties (communities, associations, auditors) to lend credibility. At the strategic level, investment in “preventive reputation capital”—a tangible commitment to value, consistency of service, and a corrective track record—acts as a risk attenuator that lowers the R of an issue when a crisis begins to emerge.

Thus, the dynamics of reputational risk in the social media era are not merely a communication phenomenon, but rather a sociotechnical process that combines human affect, network structure, and algorithmic logic. The fundamental difference from the conventional media era lies in the speed, decentralization of narrative production, and the constant pressure of public evaluation. For major brands in Indonesia, readiness to manage these three dimensions operational, communicative, and value simultaneously determines whether an issue will dissipate as a local incident or escalate into a chronic reputational crisis.

2. Crisis Management Strategies Implemented by Big Brands

The crisis management strategy implemented by major brands in the social media era begins with the identification and early detection stage, where companies build a social listening-based early warning system to detect potential issues before they escalate into crises. Monitoring is conducted by tracking keywords, related entities, and public conversations using parameters such as share of voice, mention growth rate, sentiment score, and emotional analysis (Yuliana, 2022). This process is complemented by stakeholder mapping and network analysis to identify opinion multipliers, then the results are mapped in a matrix of severity and speed of spread to determine urgency and risk. This stage produces an issue brief containing a problem definition, hypothesized causes, affected parties, and recommended escalation steps.

When a crisis is identified, the company activates a cross-functional team or crisis cell comprising PR, legal, digital communications, customer service, and security departments to formulate a coordinated response. This work structure is supported by a clear matrix of roles and responsibilities and a message hub that ensures consistent messaging across all communication channels (Pratiwi & Haninda, 2022). Initially, an empathetic, factual, and non-speculative holding statement is

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

immediately released to fill the information gap. Subsequently, regular updates are provided, accompanied by documentation of progress. Tactical measures such as disabling paid advertising, moderating policy-violating comments, and handling individual cases privately are implemented to maintain control of the public narrative.

The communication approach employed refers to Situational Crisis Communication Theory (SCCT) to tailor responses to the level of responsibility attribution. Two-sided messaging techniques are used to acknowledge shortcomings while simultaneously highlighting corrective actions taken, while narrative transportation presents a true story that strengthens the message's credibility. Communication is supported by concrete data and visual evidence to increase public trust (Do & Nham, 2021). Furthermore, inoculation messaging is utilized to anticipate misinformation by concisely presenting opposing arguments and then countering them with facts. The principles of empathy and accountability are maintained through a consistent tone of voice, direct involvement of company leaders, and the provision of an open forum for public dialogue.

The principle of transparency is implemented not only through data disclosure but also by providing verification evidence such as documents, technical FAQs, or links to official policies. Empathy is demonstrated through prompt responses to public complaints, the use of respectful language, and the implementation of clear compensation policies. To avoid appearing defensive, public channels are used for clarification, while private channels are utilized for individual problem resolution. On sensitive issues, the direct involvement of executives as spokespersons reinforces the impression of accountability and seriousness in handling matters.

The use of advanced social listening tools is a crucial component in monitoring public opinion dynamics in real time. Features such as topic analysis, emotion detection, influencer mapping, and hoax narrative tracking enable crisis teams to develop targeted responses. Monitoring results are integrated with customer service data and web analytics to assess shifts in public perception. Before issuing broad statements, companies test counter-messaging through limited distribution to mitigate the risk of backfire (Salvador & Ikeda, 2018).

The integration of proactive and reactive strategies is a crucial pillar of crisis management. Proactive strategies are built through vulnerability audits, scenario playbook development, and positive image building through sustainability and social responsibility programs. When a crisis occurs, reactive strategies are implemented through rapid response, evidence-based clarification, and publicly visible corrective actions such as product recalls or independent audits. The synergy of these two strategies helps avoid the paradox of "too much talk, too little action" and ensures that the narrative conveyed is supported by concrete actions.

Social media is strategically used as a primary channel for clarification, directing the public to official information centers for centralized updates. Content is tailored to the platform's characteristics, such as chronological threads on X, short videos demonstrating remedial measures, and live sessions to directly answer public questions. Comment moderation is carried out proportionally, removing content that violates policies while still allowing for constructive criticism. Collaboration with third parties, such as experts or NGOs, helps strengthen the validation of independent parties.

Strategy effectiveness is evaluated through indicators such as changes in net sentiment, increased brand searches, shortened crisis cycle duration, and improved customer service metrics. Case studies show that brands that implement high transparency, rapid corrective action, and leadership engagement tend to recover their reputations more quickly than brands that are defensive or delay clarification (Nurdina et al., 2025). The key differentiating factors lie in the speed and quality of factual data, the strategy's suitability to the type of crisis, and the visibility of recovery actions. Organizations with a well-documented track record of crisis management

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

are better prepared for potential future incidents, making crises a source of capability enhancement, rather than simply a reputational threat.

3. Strategy Effectiveness and Implications for Reputation Resilience

Based on an evidence-based evaluation framework, the effectiveness of crisis management strategies is assessed through triangulation of three domains: (i) audience behavior and perceptions on social media, (ii) media framing and intensity of coverage, and (iii) post-crisis business performance indicators. In the social media realm, key metrics include net sentiment [(positive–negative)/total], the "debunking-to-rumor" ratio (a higher proportion of clarifying content than negative narratives), and the recovery half-life, the time it takes for a news story to decay.Negative conversations approximated by exponential modeling of mention volume. In the media realm, effectiveness is reflected in shifts in news valence (negative → neutral/positive), agenda normalization (a decrease in the issue's position in the headline), and changes ina discourse frame from "mistake/culpa" to "improvement/accountabili ty." Meanwhile, in the business realm, evaluations focus on lagging indicators (sales, churn, NPS, conversion) and leading indicators (organic traffic, brand searches, intent-to-purchase), with causal inference designs such as interrupted time series, difference-in-differences, or synthetic controls to separate the impact of strategy from seasonal trends (Mayangsari, 2025). This combination of metrics enhances internal–external validity while providing a comparative baseline between cases.

Substantively, a strategy is deemed effective if it results in a realignment between public expectations and the corporate narrative within a business-relevant time horizon. Practices proven to contribute include a combination of "mortification + corrective action" messaging (a clear apology accompanied by a measurable improvement plan), data transparency (disclosing improvement progress metrics), and a tiered response across channels (owned, shared, and earned). The speed and quality of responses are monitored through time-to-first-response, message readability, consistency of tone, and a clear locus of control (who is responsible, what steps, and when they will be completed). In the algorithmic space, effectiveness is also determined by the ability to "fill the recommendation space" with high-value clarifying content involving credible creators, concise visual FAQs, and micro-content that can be recycled across platforms. Network-wise, success is indicated by a decrease in the centrality of rumor-spreading nodes and an increase in the centrality of clarifying nodes (influencers/reference communities), which can be traced through conversation graph analysis (Sari et al., 2025). Thus, effectiveness is not merely about suppressing negative voices, but rather shifting the structure of information flow to an ecology that is more resilient to mis/disinformation.

The methodological implication of the above evaluation is the need for a continuous monitoring system that functions as an early-warning system. The architecture includes a cross-platform, real-time data collection pipeline, an anomaly detection module (e.g., CUSUM or threshold model), and semantic classification (topic modeling + sentiment/stance detection) to map issues taxonomically. The tactical dashboard should separate leading indicators (escalation rate, key actors, thematic sentiment) and lagging indicators (aggregate corporate reputation, commercial impact) with intervention thresholds agreed upon in the playbook. A "human-in-the-loop" mechanism ensures correction of model bias, especially on issues involving local language/slang, irony, or multimodal (text-image-video). Linking monitoring data to the PR/CS ticketing system encourages end-to-end documented resolution, so that each crisis episode enriches "institutional memory" and reduces response time to subsequent incidents. With this design, monitoring is not merely reactive but becomes an organizational learning infrastructure (Harditia & Sudadi, 2025).

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

The human capability dimension demands scenario-based training programs for communications teams and decision-making under uncertainty. An effective curriculum generally includes: (1) message framing techniques based on crisis typologies (preventable, accidental, victim) and stakeholder profiles; (2) management of digital press conferences/AMAs with empathy, accountability, and clarity of commitment skills; (3) rapid verification protocols for viral claims (fact-checking checklist, deepfake awareness, chain-of-custody evidence); (4) cross-functional "war-room" rehearsals (communications, legal, operations, cybersecurity) with explicit RACIs; and (5) algorithmic literacy—understanding the dynamics of content distribution, timing, and preferred formats of platforms. Training evaluation should be based on measurable stress tests (table-top exercises) with KPIs such as factual error rate, message consistency across channels, and adherence to response SLAs. Investing in these capabilities increases agility and reduces the risk of reputational escalation caused by internal miscommunication (Julianto & Prasetiyo, 2025).

At the governance level, an adaptive crisis protocol must be designed as a living document that evolves with changing algorithms and digital trends. Design principles include modularity (combinable scenario blocks), channel redundancy (fallbacks if organic reach drops), and evidence-based version control (post-mortem updates). Core components include: a threshold-based escalation matrix, a backup spokesperson list, messaging templates for multiple platforms/formats, a data transparency policy, and ethical standards for community engagement. Integration with enterprise risk management ensures coherence between reputational mitigation and operational/security mitigation, including a fast track for compensation decisions or product recalls. Following a crisis, a mandatory "after-action review" ritual identifies root causes, cross-functional impacts, and debunked/confirmed hypotheses, which are then translated into process changes and new monitoring indicators. This cycle transforms the protocol from a guideline to an adaptive learning system.

The strategic implication for long-term reputational resilience is a shift in focus from "image restoration" to "trust capital building." This is achieved through consistency between brand promise, stakeholder experience, and publicly auditable proof-of-work. Sustainability and governance (ESG) programs serve as a trust cushion, as they minimize the gap between social expectations and business practices. In the volatile social media environment, diversifying relationships with communities (customers, employees, partners, and independent advocates) creates a "buffer network" that accelerates narrative recovery when incidents occur (Kusnadi et al., 2025). Systemically, reputational resilience grows from four pillars: transparency (sharing relevant data), accountability (taking responsibility for consequences), responsiveness (acting quickly and appropriately), and continuous learning (institutionalizing crisis memory). When these pillars are in place, companies build the ability to absorb shocks without losing legitimacy.

CONCLUSIONS

The overall analysis shows that reputational risk in the social media era is the result of a complex interaction between public expectations, the dynamics of citizen narratives, and the logic of algorithmic curation, accelerating the escalation of issues. The speed of dissemination, virality, and decentralized nature of content production narrow the window for communicative intervention and increase brands' vulnerability to narrative shocks. Psychological factors such as negativity bias and group polarization, coupled with dense and overlapping network structures, increase the likelihood of non-linear spikes in perception. The fundamental difference from conventional media lies in the intensity of sustained exposure and public pressure, manifested in real-time metrics. Case studies show that defensive responses, delayed clarification, and a lack of empathy often act as crisis accelerators. Conversely, a combination

P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

of rapid response, evidence-based transparency, and measurable corrective actions has been shown to accelerate recovery. Evaluation of strategy effectiveness should encompass social, media, and business metrics, using a causal inference approach to separate impact from external trends. Methodologically, a continuous monitoring system integrated with adaptive playbooks and real-time data pipelines is needed. The human capability dimension plays a crucial role through scenario-based training and algorithmic literacy. Modular and evolving crisis governance ensures coherence between reputation mitigation and operational mitigation. At the strategic level, the focus of reputation management shifts from image restoration to building publicly auditable trust capital. With pillars of transparency, accountability, responsiveness, and continuous learning, companies can build reputational resilience that adapts to the turbulence of the digital ecosystem.

REFERENCES

Asnita, R., Artis, A., Tessa, N., Azzura, I. P., Saragih, M. F., Zikri, F., & Qanita, A. (2024). Strategi manajemen public relations dalam membangun reputasi korporat di industri penerbangan Indonesia. Bundling: Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis, 1(1), 24-35.

Darmawan, D., Mendonca, C. N., & de Jesus Isaac, A. (2022). Managing Corporate Reputation in the Digital Age: Challenges and Solutions for Maintaining a Positive Image on Social Media. Journal of Social Science Studies, 2(1), 283-288.

Do, B. N., & Nham, T. P. (2021). Brand crisis response strategies: a typologies continuum. Business: Theory and Practice, 22(1), 146-158.

Harditia, H., & Sudadi, S. (2025). Strategi Manajemen Humas dalam Membangun Reputasi Sekolah di Mata Publik. Al-Marsus: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam, 3(1), 28-40.

Ibrahim Awwad, R., Ibrahim, B., George, C., Hamdan, S., & Nair, K. (2025). Facts or belief: examining the effect of the cognitive dissonance on brand switching, purchase regret and satisfaction level. Global Knowledge, Memory and Communication, 74(3/4), 1209-1222.

Ibrahim, S. M., Golose, P. R., & Imran, M. F. (2025). Strategi Komunikasi Polri di Media Sosial: Studi Kasus Manajemen Reputasi oleh Divisi Hubungan Masyarakat. Brand Communication, 4(1), 58-67.

Indrayani, I. I. (2024). Gen Z's ethical approaches in crisis communication on social media: Evidence of Indonesia (Doctoral dissertation, Petra Christian University).

Julianto, P. R., & Prasetiyo, L. (2025). Economics and Digital Business Review. Economics, 6(2), 1543-1556.

Kusnadi, D., Kustiawan, U., Hamdi, E., & Indradewa, R. (2025). Strategi manajemen risiko dalam optimalisasi aplikasi e-commerce Cook Link. Fair Value: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Keuangan, 7(3).

Mayangsari, E. G. (2025). Strategi Ketahanan Perusahaan untuk Memperjuangkan Berjalannya Bisnis Sehingga Terciptanya Ketahanan Negara. Blantika: Multidisciplinary Journal, 3(7), 1033-1039.

Ndruru, N. (2025). Tinjauan Literatur tentang Strategi Manajemen Krisis di Era Media Sosial. Jurnal Manajemen dan Ilmu Berkaitan: Swot, 1(01), 23-30.

Nurdina, H., Firdaus, R. Z., Chandra, Y. E. N., Saputro, R. J., Kurniasari, R., & Puspitasari, N. (2025). PERAN STRATEGI KOMUNIKASI KRISIS DALAM MEMITIGASI DAMPAK BRAND SWITCHING DAN

OIKONOMIA Journal of Management Economics and Accounting
E-ISSN : 0000-0000
P-ISSN : 0000-0000 Vol. 2. No. 4, August 2025
E-ISSN : 3047-602X
Available : https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia
DOI : https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v2i4.411

PENURUNAN HARGA SAHAM AKIBAT BOIKOT KONSUMEN: Studi Kasus Pada Starbucks. Jurnal Administrasi Profesional, 6(1), 51-65.

Pratiwi, P. Y., & Haninda, A. R. (2022). Strategi Manajemen Krisis Public Relations dalam Mengembalikan Kepercayaan Karyawan. Inter Script: Journal of Creative Communication, 3(1).

Ramdina, V. (2025). Public Relations and Corporate Reputation in The Era of Industrial 4.0: A Systematic Literature Review. Jurnal Mahardika Adiwidia, 4(2), 151-159.

Salvador, A. B., & Ikeda, A. A. (2018). Brand crisis management: the use of information for prevention, identification and management. Revista Brasileira de Gestão de Negócios, 20, 74-91.

Sari, F. F., Bakti, I., & Perbawasari, S. (2025). Strategi Komunikasi Krisis PT Telkom dalam Mempertahankan Reputasi Perusahaan Akibat Gangguan Jaringan Internet di Kabupaten Merauke. Sospol, 11(2), 207-222.

Sari, M., & Chandra, J. (2025). Pengaruh Media Sosial terhadap Komunikasi Organisasi: Studi Kasus pada Manajemen Krisis. Jurnal Kolaboratif Sains, 8(1), 660-669.

Silviani, I., Nisa, J., & Pratama, I. (2022). Dimensions of Crisis Communication Practice: Does Innovative and Technological Dimension of Social Media matter? Evidence from Public Limited Companies of Indonesia. Croatian International Relations Review, 28(90), 1-22.

Sinaga, R. (2025). Kajian Literatur tentang Tantangan dan Strategi Manajemen Krisis dalam Digital Marketing di Platform Media Sosial. SWOT: Jurnal Manajemen dan Ilmu Berkaitan, 1(01), 05-09.

Wulandari, S., Ridwan, N., Hutomo, A., Syahril, R., & Priatna, P. (2025). Krisis Komunikasi Clairmont Patisserie: Studi Kasus Review Negatif di Media Sosial. Indonesian Research Journal on Education, 5(3), 315-324.

Xiayu, S. (2024). Navigating corporate brand crisis management in the network environment: An in-depth analysis. JMBI UNSRAT (Jurnal Ilmiah Manajemen Bisnis dan Inovasi Universitas Sam Ratulangi)., 11(1), 256-270.

Yahya, M. Y. (2025). PEMASARAN MELALUI MEDIA SOSIAL: STRATEGI DAN EFEKTIVITAS DALAM ERA DIGITAL. Jurnal Dinamika Sosial dan Sains, 2(6), 858-863.

Yakın, V., Güven, H., David, S., Güven, E., Bărbuță-Mișu, N., Güven, E. T. A., & Virlanuta, F. O. (2023). The effect of cognitive dissonance theory and brand loyalty on consumer complaint behaviors: a cross-cultural study. Sustainability, 15(6), 4718.

Yuliana, L. (2022). Pemanfaatan Manajemen Krisis Terhadap Brand Awareness. Widya Cipta: Jurnal Sekretari dan Manajemen, 6(2), 95-101.