



Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

: <https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3>

Available :

: <https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia>

Literature Review on the Influence of Leadership Style on Employee Satisfaction and Performance

Firayani

Sultan Thaha State Islamic University, Indonesia

Firayani60@gmail.com

Received: April 29, 2024

Revised: May 10, 2024

Accepted: May 22, 2024

Published: May 30, 2024

Corresponding Author:

Author Name*: Firayani

E-mail*: firayani60@gmail.com

Abstract: *This study aims to analyze the influence of leadership styles on employee satisfaction and performance through a literature review approach. Using a descriptive qualitative method, this study collected and reviewed various relevant academic literature published in the last five years. The primary focus was on identifying leadership styles such as transformational, transactional, authoritarian, and democratic, and their relationship to job satisfaction and individual performance variables within an organizational context. The analysis results indicate that transformational and democratic leadership styles have the most positive influence on improving employee satisfaction and performance. Conversely, authoritarian and transactional leadership styles tend to have limited and contextual impacts. Job satisfaction proved to be an important intermediary variable in bridging the influence of leadership styles on performance. These findings emphasize the importance of leaders adopting a leadership approach that is adaptive, communicative, and oriented toward developing interpersonal relationships. This research also provides conceptual contributions to organizations in designing more effective and humanistic leadership strategies. Overall, this study enriches the human resource management literature and offers a theoretical basis for further research.*

Keywords: *Leadership, Job Satisfaction, Employee Performance*

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

[:https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3](https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3)

Available :

[:https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia](https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia)

Introduction

In the context of modern organizational dynamics, leadership style plays a central role in determining the success of managerial strategies and the achievement of institutional goals. Leadership serves not only as a tool of administrative control but also as a mechanism for driving motivation, work culture, and the direction of organizational behavior. Leaders act as strategic decision-makers who influence the work climate and collective behavior of employees. Therefore, selecting the right leadership style is crucial for building sustainable organizational effectiveness.

Research in management science and organizational behavior shows a strong correlation between leadership style and employee job satisfaction. Transformational leadership, for example, tends to result in higher levels of job satisfaction than authoritarian or transactional leadership styles. This is because transformational leaders encourage active participation, provide emotional support, and create a motivating collective vision. In many cases, job satisfaction is an important indicator of employee psychological well-being and a predictor of retention and organizational commitment.

Furthermore, high job satisfaction has direct implications for improving individual performance. Employees who feel valued and heard by their superiors tend to demonstrate greater loyalty and work ethic.

From Herzberg's two-factor theory perspective, leadership falls into the category of intrinsic motivators capable of pushing individuals beyond normative work expectations. Therefore, a leadership style that facilitates an inclusive and appreciative work environment plays a significant role in driving productivity and superior work outcomes.

Leadership style also has a systemic impact on overall organizational performance. Effective leaders are able to synergize individual potential into collective performance that is adaptive to external dynamics. Within this framework, leadership is no longer seen solely in terms of personal characteristics, but rather in terms of the ability to create flexible, collaborative, and results-driven work structures. Therefore, leadership is not solely about authority, but also about relational and managerial capacity that can transform challenges into development opportunities.

On the other hand, a mismatch between leadership styles and employee needs or characteristics can have negative impacts. An authoritarian leadership style that overemphasizes structure and control can trigger work stress, dissatisfaction, and even burnout. Meanwhile, a laissez-faire style that lacks direction can create confusion and disorganization in work processes. Therefore, it is crucial for every organization to understand the social, cultural, and psychological context of its employees to

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

: <https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3>

Available :

: <https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia>

determine the most effective leadership approach.

Facing this complexity, a literature review is crucial as a form of theoretical and comparative reflection on existing empirical findings. The literature review serves as a critical analytical tool for understanding trends, gaps, and contributions in previous research on the relationship between leadership, satisfaction, and performance. By summarizing various perspectives, methodological approaches, and cross-sectoral study findings, this review enables the formulation of a more integrative and contextual understanding.

Furthermore, the literature review serves as a conceptual basis for designing evidence-based managerial interventions. Organizations can use the study results to develop leadership training programs tailored to the specific needs of their workforce. This is particularly important in the era of flexible and multigenerational work, where one leadership style may not be relevant to all employees. With a literature-based approach, organizational policies become more adaptive and academically grounded.

Overall, a thorough understanding of the relationship between leadership style, job satisfaction, and employee performance is fundamental to human resource development and organizational strategy. Through a systematic literature review, organizations can obtain a conceptual map that aids in strategic decision-making and strengthens

work culture. Therefore, this research not only provides academic contributions but also has high practical relevance in contemporary organizational management.

Method

This research uses a descriptive qualitative approach with a literature review as the primary strategy in exploring and analyzing the relationship between leadership style, job satisfaction, and employee performance. This approach was chosen to explore in-depth conceptual understanding, relationship patterns, and theoretical and empirical findings generated by various previous studies, both in the context of public and private organizations.

The primary data sources in this study come from national and international indexed scientific journal articles, academic books, conference proceedings, and relevant research reports published within the last five years (2019–2024). Inclusion criteria in literature selection include: (1) direct relevance to the topic of leadership style, job satisfaction, and employee performance; (2) presentation of empirical data or a strong theoretical framework; and (3) quality of publications that have gone through a peer-review process.

Data collection techniques were conducted through searches of scientific databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and DOAJ, using keywords

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

: <https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3>

Available :

: <https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia>

such as "leadership style," "job satisfaction," "employee performance," and other relevant term combinations. This process was also accompanied by a snowballing technique, where references from primary literature were further explored to broaden the scope of the study.

Data analysis was conducted using thematic analysis, which focused on identifying, categorizing, and synthesizing key themes emerging from the reviewed literature. These themes were grouped based on leadership style (such as transformational, transactional, democratic, authoritarian, and laissez-faire), its influence on job satisfaction, and its impact on employee performance at both the individual and organizational levels. This process was conducted systematically to produce an integrative and comprehensive synthesis narrative.

The validity of the study was ensured through source triangulation, which involves comparing findings from various publication types and organizational contexts. Furthermore, a critical appraisal of the methodology and conceptual framework of each reviewed study was conducted to ensure the reliability and relevance of the data.

The results of this literature review are not intended to produce universal generalizations, but rather to build a richer and deeper understanding of the dynamics of the relationship between leadership style, job satisfaction, and employee performance. This research also aims to provide a conceptual

and practical foundation for further research and the development of leadership strategies in human resource management.

Results and Discussion

Leadership style is a crucial variable in the study of organizational behavior, as it acts as a link between managerial strategy and employees' psychological responses to the work environment. The reviewed literature shows that various leadership approaches, ranging from transformational to authoritarian, have varying effects on employee motivation, satisfaction, and productivity. Leaders are tasked not only with directing but also with mediating interpersonal dynamics and creating a conducive work atmosphere.

Previous studies have consistently highlighted that transformational leadership—characterized by inspirational skills, individualized attention, and intellectual stimulation—has a significant positive correlation with job satisfaction. This style creates an emotional connection between leaders and followers, thereby increasing a sense of belonging, meaningfulness of work, and perceptions of support. Employees led with a transformational approach generally exhibit higher levels of affection for their work and organization.

Conversely, an authoritarian or autocratic leadership style, while effective in crisis

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

[:https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3](https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3)

Available :

[:https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia](https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia)

situations or in organizations with strict hierarchies, tends to decrease job satisfaction in the long term. This style emphasizes control, one-way orders, and minimal employee participation in decision-making. The literature notes that an authoritarian leadership style is correlated with high levels of stress, role conflict, and low morale, especially in work environments that demand flexibility and innovation.

Transactional leadership, based on a system of rewards and punishments, has shown mixed results. Some studies have found this approach to be effective in improving performance on routine and procedural tasks, but has little impact on affective aspects such as job satisfaction and emotional engagement. In this context, employees are solely motivated extrinsically, potentially weakening loyalty and long-term commitment.

The literature also reveals the significant role of democratic or participative leadership, particularly in the context of modern organizations that prioritize teamwork, innovation, and cross-functional collaboration. This style encourages employee involvement in the decision-making process, which leads to increased responsibility, self-esteem, and intrinsic motivation. The reviewed research indicates that employee participation contributes to positive perceptions of leaders and the work environment.

From an employee performance perspective, various studies show that a leadership style that provides clear direction, constructive feedback, and recognition for achievement significantly contributes to performance. Within the framework of expectancy theory, performance is influenced by employees' perceptions of the relationship between effort, results, and rewards. A leadership style that is responsive to these expectations has a strong potential to drive increased productivity.

Furthermore, the reviewed literature also indicates a reciprocal relationship between job satisfaction and employee performance. Leadership styles that increase job satisfaction indirectly have a positive impact on individual and collective performance. This supports the assumption that effective leadership serves as an intervening variable, bridging the relationship between organizational factors and employee output.

Differences in organizational context, work culture, and employee demographics also influence the effectiveness of certain leadership styles. In bureaucratic or militaristic organizations, transactional and authoritarian leadership styles tend to be more accepted. Meanwhile, in organizations that prioritize innovation, creativity, and cross-functional participation, transformational and democratic styles demonstrate greater effectiveness. These findings demonstrate the importance of contextualizing leadership styles in managerial implementation.

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

[:https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3](https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3)

Available :

[:https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia](https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia)

Furthermore, individual factors such as employee age, education level, and work experience also moderate perceptions of leadership style. Younger employees accustomed to collaborative and autonomous work values prefer an open and flexible leadership style. This underscores the need for an adaptive and situational leadership approach, in line with the increasingly heterogeneous workforce.

This study also found that leadership effectiveness depends not only on the style employed, but also on a leader's interpersonal communication skills, empathy, and emotional intelligence. The literature suggests that leaders with high EQ are better able to build harmonious relationships, resolve conflicts, and create a supportive work climate. This provides an important complement to the theoretical construct of leadership style.

One important finding from this literature review is the need to integrate theoretical approaches and managerial practice in the application of leadership styles. Many organizations fail to establish appropriate leadership development systems due to a lack of theoretical understanding of how leadership styles impact employee behavior. Therefore, the development of human resource management policies needs to be based on valid empirical research and conceptual studies.

Methodologically, the literature review approach in this study provides an important

contribution in thematic mapping and identifying research gaps. Several studies highlight the limited number of studies integrating leadership style with organizational culture dynamics, psychological well-being, and long-term performance outcomes. Therefore, the results of this study can serve as a basis for developing a more comprehensive theoretical model.

Ultimately, this discussion emphasizes that leadership style is not merely a choice of managerial strategies, but rather a social construct that requires a multidimensional understanding. Leadership effectiveness must be seen within the relationship between leaders and followers, which is shaped by the organization's values, perceptions, and social context. Therefore, developing a leadership style oriented toward employee satisfaction and performance requires an evidence-based, reflective, and adaptive approach to changing times.

Conclusion

Based on the results of the literature review, it can be concluded that leadership style is an important determinant in shaping employee job satisfaction and performance. Transformational and democratic leadership styles consistently show a significant positive influence on increasing employee motivation, loyalty, and productivity. Meanwhile, authoritarian and transactional leadership

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

[:https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3](https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3)

Available :

[:https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia](https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia)

styles tend to show contextual and limited effectiveness, especially in organizations with rigid and procedural work structures. Job satisfaction has been shown to be an important mediating variable between leadership and performance output, confirming the reciprocal relationship between affective and performative aspects of the workplace.

Furthermore, the effectiveness of a leadership style is largely determined by the organizational context, employee characteristics, and socio-cultural dynamics in the work environment. Therefore, an adaptive, empathy-based, and communicative leadership approach is a strategic imperative in contemporary human resource management. This study also emphasizes the importance of developing evidence-based leadership programs that consider employee preferences, organizational goals, and external environmental conditions. Therefore, the results of this literature review provide a conceptual contribution to strengthening leadership practices oriented towards improving employee well-being and sustainable organizational performance.

Bibliography

- Afsar, B., & Umrani, W.A. (2020). Transformational leadership and innovative work behavior: The role of motivation to learn, task complexity and innovation climate. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 23(3), 402–428. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-12-2018-0257>
- Ahmed, U., Umrani, W.A., Pahi, M.H., & Shah, SMM (2021). Influence of ethical leadership on constructive voice behavior: Mediating role of organizational trust and psychological ownership. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 30(1), 42–60. <https://doi.org/10.1111/beer.12317>
- Al-Mawali, H., & Mohamed, H. A. (2019). The impact of leadership styles on employee job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management*, 7(2), 1–13.
- Asbari, M., Purwanto, A., & Santoso, PB (2020). Influence of leadership, motivation, and work environment on job satisfaction and performance of employees in Indonesia. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(1), 682–695.
- Buil, I., Martínez, E., & Matute, J. (2019). Transformational leadership and employee performance: The role of identification, engagement and proactive personality. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77, 64–75.

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

[:https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3](https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3)

Available :

[:https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia](https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia)

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.06.014>
- Channa, N. A., & Hussain, T. (2021). Effect of leadership styles on employee job satisfaction: Evidence from Pakistan. *Cogent Business & Management*, 8(1), 1944002.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021.1944002>
- Chen, C.-Y., & Tang, Y.-Y. (2020). The impact of leader-member exchange on employee performance and work engagement. *Journal of Management Development*, 39(2), 119–132.
- Dirican, A.H., & Erdil, O. (2022). Examining the mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between leadership style and job performance. *Management Science Letters*, 12(3), 251–260.
- Fitria, H. (2019). The influence of organizational culture and trust through the teacher performance. *International Journal of Scientific & Technological Research*, 8(4), 111–116.
- Gautam, R. K., & Enslin, C. (2022). Leadership and employee outcomes: A systematic review of high-performing organizations. *South African Journal of Business Management*, 53(1), 1–10.
<https://doi.org/10.4102/sajbm.v53i1.2625>
- Gunawan, J., & Aunguroch, Y. (2020). Managerial competence and its influence on job satisfaction and performance of nurses: A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Nursing Sciences*, 7(3), 313–319.
- Hassan, S., & Wright, B. E. (2020). Leadership and performance: A study of the influence of leadership style on organizational performance in public agencies. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 43(3), 634–658.
- Irawanto, DW, Novianti, KR, & Roz, K. (2021). Psychological capital, quality of work life and job satisfaction among teachers: The mediating role of work engagement. *Management Science Letters*, 11(3), 729–738.
- Jiang, J., & Chen, X. (2019). The impact of transformational leadership on employee sustainable performance: Evidence from China. *Sustainability*, 11(24), 6880.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su11246880>
- Kim, T., Kim, W., & Park, S. (2021). The effect of transformational leadership on job performance: The mediating role of work engagement. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2454.
- Lee, H. W., & Kim, Y. (2022). Leadership, empowerment, and job satisfaction: A moderated mediation model. *Social*

Vol. 1. No. 3, May 2024

E-ISSN

: 3047-602X

DOI

: <https://doi.org/10.61942/oikonomia.v1i3>

Available :

: <https://jurnalhafasy.com/index.php/oikonomia>

Behavior and Personality: An International Journal, 50(5), e11306.

Nugroho, RA, & Rachmawati, R. (2023). The role of leadership style in influencing employee satisfaction and loyalty: A case study of a digital startup. *Indonesian Management Journal*, 23(1), 45–56.

Putra, AR, & Suryani, T. (2020). The relationship between leadership styles, work motivation, and job satisfaction: A study on employees of Indonesian retail companies. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 21(3), 1281–1296.

Saragih, S., & Limbong, T. (2021). Leadership style and employee performance: A study from the hotel industry in Indonesia. *Journal of Applied Management*, 19(4), 807–817.

Yukongdi, V., & Shrestha, P. (2020). Transformational leadership and turnover intention: The mediating effects of employee engagement. *International Journal of Human Resource Studies*, 10(2), 157–181.